arXiv:1702.06403v2 [math-ph] 24 Feb 2017

Stahl's Theorem (aka BMV Conjecture): Insights and Intuition on its Proof

Fabien Clivaz
Institute for Theoretical Physics,
ETH Zürich, 8093 Zürich, Switzerland

Monday 29th February, 2016

Abstract

The Bessis–Moussa–Villani conjecture states that the trace of $\exp(A - tB)$ is, as a function of the real variable t, the Laplace transform of a positive measure, where A and B are respectively a hermitian and positive semi-definite matrix. The long standing conjecture was recently proved by Stahl and streamlined by Eremenko. We report on a more concise yet self-contained version of the proof.

1 Statement

In 1975, Bessis Moussa and Villani conjectured in [1] a way of rewriting the partition function of a broad class of statistical systems. The precise statement can be formulated as follows, see [2, 3] for a popular reformulation by E. Lieb and R. Seiringer.

Theorem 1 (Stahl's Theorem). *Let A and B be two $n \times n$ Hermitian matrices, where B is positive semidefinite. Then the function*

$$f(t) := Tr\ e^{A-tB}, \quad t \geq 0 \tag{1}$$

can be represented as the Laplace transform of a non-negative measure μ. That is,

$$f(t) = \int_0^\infty e^{-ts} d\mu(s). \tag{2}$$

More than 30 years later, after having raised the interest of many scientists [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12], Stahl published a proof of this conjecture

in [13]. A minimal version of the proof has meanwhile been published by Eremenko in [14]. Our aim is to reconcile the exactness of Stahl's version of the proof with the clarity of Eremenko's version.

Intuitive Case. To get a feeling of why the above theorem holds, let us investigate the case where A and B commute.

Since our matrices are simultaneously diagonalisable, we can w.l.o.g. assume that they are given in diagonal form and exponentiating them becomes trivial. We therefore have:

$$f(t) = Tr\ e^{A-tB} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} e^{a_j} e^{-tb_j}. \tag{3}$$

We next define the measure $\mu := \sum_{j=1}^{n} e^{a_j} \delta_{b_j}$, where a_j and b_j are the matrix elements of A and B, and δ_{b_j} is the Dirac measure on $\mathbb{R}$. By noting that for any function $g(s)$, $\int_0^\infty g(s) d\delta_{b_j} = g(b_j)$, one immediately sees that

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-ts} d\mu(s) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} e^{a_j} e^{-tb_j} = f(t); \tag{4}$$

showing that, in the case of commuting matrices, the BMV conjecture is realized with a discrete positive μ.

To simplify the analysis of the general case, we first prove the following

Assumption. W.l.o.g. B can be assumed to have distinct positive eigenvalues $b_n > \cdots > b_1 > 0$.

Proof. Let $B \geq 0$. We work in the diagonal basis of B. We define $B_\varepsilon := B + \varepsilon D$ with $D = diag(1, 2, \ldots, n)$. Assuming Theorem 1 holds for B_ε, we want to prove it also holds for B; that is, assuming μ_ε exists and is non-negative, we want to prove μ exists and is non-negative.

Since the following involves the inverse Laplace transform, it is convenient to write the objects as tempered distributions. Explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\varepsilon[\varphi] &:= \int_0^\infty \varphi(s) d\mu_\varepsilon(s), \\ f_\varepsilon[\varphi] &:= \int_0^\infty \varphi(s) f_\varepsilon(s) ds; \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

for test functions $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathcal{R}^+)$. We note that $\mu_\varepsilon \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow \mu_\varepsilon[\varphi] \geq 0$, $\forall \varphi \geq 0$. Denoting the Laplace transform by $\mathcal{L}$, we have:

$$\mathcal{L}(\mu_\varepsilon)[\varphi] := \mu_\varepsilon[\mathcal{L}(\varphi)] = f_\varepsilon[\varphi], \tag{6}$$

which using the Bromwich integral formula yields

$$\mu_\varepsilon[\varphi] = \mathcal{L}^{-1}(f_\varepsilon)[\varphi] = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{x-i\infty}^{x+i\infty} f_\varepsilon(z)\left(\int_0^\infty e^{z(s)}\varphi(s)ds\right)dz. \tag{7}$$

Using the Dominated Convergence Theorem one shows that (see appendix A of [15])

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\mu_\varepsilon[\varphi] = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{x-i\infty}^{x+i\infty} f(z)\left(\int_0^\infty e^{z(s)}\varphi(s)ds\right)dz \geq 0\ ,\ \forall\varphi\geq 0, \tag{8}$$

where the inequality comes from $\mu_\varepsilon[\varphi]\geq 0\ ,\ \forall\varphi\geq 0$. So with

$$\mu[\varphi] := \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{x-i\infty}^{x+i\infty} f(z)\left(\int_0^\infty e^{z(s)}\varphi(s)ds\right)dz, \tag{9}$$

we have $f=\mathcal{L}(\mu)$ and $\mu\geq 0$. □

2 Eigenvalues of $A-tB$

We tackle the general case by looking at $\lambda_1(t),\ldots,\lambda_n(t)$; the eigenvalues of $A-tB$.

Theorem 2.

i) *$\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n$ have no branch point over $\mathbb{R}$.*

ii) *$\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n$ are analytic in a neighborhood of infinity and $\forall j=1,\ldots,n$*

$$\lambda_j(t) = a_{jj} - tb_j + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{t})\ (t\to\infty). \tag{10}$$

Proof. We want to study

$$\begin{aligned}&\det\left(\lambda(t)\,id-(A-tB)\right)=0 \text{ as } t\to\infty\\ \Leftrightarrow&\det\left(b(u)\,id-(B+uA)\right)=0 \text{ as } u\to 0,\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

with

$$u := -\frac{1}{t}\ \text{ and }\ b(u) := u\cdot\lambda\left(-\frac{1}{u}\right). \tag{12}$$

That is, we are interested in the form of $b(u)$, the slightly perturbed (isolated) eigenvalues of B. Fortunately, this finds an answer in most text books on Quantum Mechanics. See for e.g. ch. 11.1 of [16] for an intuitive

approach or ch. XII of [17] for a rigorous one. In any case, one finds for $j = 1, \ldots, n$:

$$b_j(u) = b_j + ua_{jj} + \mathcal{O}(u^2) \ (u \to 0). \tag{13}$$

Analyticity and uniqueness of $b_j(u)$ near $u = 0$ is assured by Theorem XII.1 in [17] and since $B + uA$ is self adjoint $\forall u \in \mathbb{R}$, by Rellich's Theorem (Theorem XII.3 in [17]), $b_i(u)$ is analytic and single valued in a neighborhood of u_0, $\forall u_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Plugging definition 12 in equation 13 we therefore have for $j = 1, \ldots, n$ that

$$\lambda_j(t) = a_{jj} - tb_j + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) (t \to \infty) \tag{14}$$

is analytic in a neighborhood of infinity and has no branch point over $\mathbb{R}$.□

3 Explicit Form of μ

We now postulate an explicit form for μ.

Theorem 3. *The measure* $\mu := \omega + \sum_{j=i}^{n} e^{a_{jj}} \delta_{b_j}$ *satisfies*

$$f(t) = \int_0^\infty e^{-ts} d\mu(s), \tag{15}$$

for $f(t) = \operatorname{Tr} e^{A-tB}$ *and* $d\omega(s) := \omega(s)ds$, *where*

$$\omega(s) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{j:\, b_j < s} \int_{\partial U} e^{\lambda_j(z) + sz} dz; \tag{16}$$

with U *a neighborhood of infinity such that* ∂U *is a positively oriented Jordan curve around zero.*

Before verifying Theorem 3, we prove the useful

Lemma 4. $supp(\omega) \subset [b_1, b_n]$.

Proof. For $s \leq b_1$ the sum $\sum_{j:b_j<s}$ is void and hence trivially $\omega(s) = 0$. For $s > b_n$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi i\, \omega(s) &= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{\partial U} e^{\lambda_j(z) + sz} dz \\ &= \int_{\partial U} \operatorname{Tr} e^{A - zB} e^{sz} dz, \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

where we used the spectral decomposition definition of e^{A-zB}, that is

$$e^{A-zB} := \sum_{\lambda} e^{\lambda} P_{\lambda}; \quad \lambda\text{: Eigenvalue of } A - zB. \tag{18}$$

Equivalently, see e.g. [18], one can define e^{A-zB} through

$$e^{A-zB} := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\gamma} \left(z'\, id - (A - zB)\right)^{-1} e^{z'} dz', \tag{19}$$

with γ enclosing the spectrum of $A - zB$, thereby ensuring $\left(z'\, id - (A - zB)\right)^{-1}$ to be well defined for $z' \in \gamma$ and in fact analytic as a function of z, since for any fixed $z' \in \gamma$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dz}\left(z'\, id - (A - zB)\right)^{-1} = &- \left(z'\, id - (A - zB)\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{d}{dz}\left(z'\, id - (A - zB)\right)\right) \\ &\left(z'\, id - (A - zB)\right)^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

With definition 19 we therefore see that $\operatorname{Tr} e^{A-zB}$ is analytic and hence by Cauchy's Theorem $\omega(s) = 0$. □

Proof (of Theorem 3). We want to verify that $\mathcal{L}(\mu) = f$.

The first part of μ is the expression we found in the intuitive case of section 1. Using Lemma 4 and noting that $\sum_{j:b_j<s} = \sum_{j=1}^{k}$ for $s \in (b_k, b_{k+1}]$, we find for the second one

$$\mathcal{L}(\omega)(t) = \int_{b_1}^{b_n} e^{-ts} \omega(s) ds = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} I_k(t), \tag{21}$$

with

$$I_k = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{b_k}^{b_{k+1}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \int_{\partial U} e^{\lambda_j(z) + s(z-t)} dz \right) ds. \tag{22}$$

Since according to Theorem 2 the λ_j's have no branch point over $\mathbb{R}$, by Cauchy's Theorem, we can, without altering the result of the integral, deform U to U_1, with U_1 as in figure 1. Inverting the sums, i.e. $\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{k} = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k=j}^{n-1}$, and performing the s-integral, we then get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} I_k = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{\partial U_1} e^{\lambda_j(z)} \frac{e^{b_n(z-t)}}{z-t} dz}_{\diamondsuit} - \underbrace{\frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{\partial U_1} e^{\lambda_j(z)} \frac{e^{b_j(z-t)}}{z-t} dz}_{\star}. \tag{23}$$

Note that the n^{th} summand of $\circledcirc$ and $\circledast$ cancel each other.

Since $f(z)\frac{e^{b_n(z-t)}}{z-t}$ is entire in $(U_1)^c$, we have by Cauchy's Theorem

$$\circledcirc = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial U_1} f(z)\frac{e^{b_n(z-t)}}{z-t}dz = 0. \tag{24}$$

To evaluate $\circledast$ we first split the integration path:

$$\circledast = \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left[\underbrace{\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial U_1 - C} e^{\lambda_j(z)}\frac{e^{b_j(z-t)}}{z-t}dz}_{①} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{C} e^{\lambda_j(z)}\frac{e^{b_j(z-t)}}{z-t}dz}_{②}\right], \tag{25}$$

with C a positively oriented curve with trace $\{z:\ |z| = R > t\}$ as depicted in figure 1. Since $z = t$ is the only pole enclosed by $\partial U_1 - C$, using the residue Theorem, $① = -e^{\lambda_j(t)}$. We then rewrite ② using Theorem 2 to express λ_j as

$$\lambda_j(z) = -b_j z + a_{jj} + r_j(z), \tag{26}$$

where r_j is analytic in U_1 and $r_j(\infty) = 0$. So

$$② = e^{a_{jj}-b_j t}\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_C \frac{e^{r_j(z)}}{z-t}dz. \tag{27}$$

Performing the change of variable $z := 1/z$, the new variable integrates over

$$\frac{1}{C}: \text{negatively oriented curve with trace } \{z:\ |z| = \frac{1}{R}\}, \tag{28}$$

and we therefore get

$$② = -e^{a_{jj}-b_j t}\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\frac{1}{C}} \frac{e^{r_j(z^{-1})}}{z(1-tz)}dz = e^{a_{jj}-b_j t}e^{r_k(\infty)} = e^{a_{jj}-b_j t}; \tag{29}$$

since as $|z| = 1/R < 1/t$, the only pole of the integrand is at $z = 0$.

Gathering the results of ①, ②, $\circledast$ and $\circledcirc$ we get

$$\mathcal{L}(\omega)(t) = -\circledast = -\sum_{j=1}^{n} e^{a_{jj}-b_j t} + \operatorname{Tr} e^{A-tB}, \tag{30}$$

which with the result of the intuitive case gives $\mathcal{L}(\mu)(t) = \operatorname{Tr} e^{A-tB}$. □



Figure 1: The choice of U_1, in yellow, is made such that $t \in U_1$. Such a choice is enabled by Theorem 2 i).

4 Domain of Definition of λ

We would now like to talk about λ, the solution of $det\,(\lambda(t)\,id - (A - tB)) = 0$, in a global fashion instead of viewing it as n different functions $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$. A fruitful way to do so is to define its domain of definition, S, as a Riemann surface; for further reading see [19] or [20].

We choose the n sheets of S, S_j $(j = 1, \ldots, n)$, such that in the neighborhood of infinity where we already numbered the λ_j's (see Theorem 2) we have that

$$\lambda_j = \lambda \circ \pi_j^{-1}, \tag{31}$$

with $\pi : S \to \bar{\mathbb{C}}$ the canonical projection of S and π_j its restriction to S_j.

We further denote the lifting of the complex conjugate over S by ρ and note that since λ is of real type, $\rho(S_+) = S_-$ and vice versa; where

$$\begin{aligned} S_+ &:= \{\xi \in S \mid \operatorname{Im} \pi(\xi) > 0\}, \\ S_- &:= \{\xi \in S \mid \operatorname{Im} \pi(\xi) < 0\}. \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

That is, S is anti-conformal.

5 Non-Negativity of μ

To conclude the proof of Theorem 1 we have to prove that $\mu = \sum_{j=1}^{n} e^{b_j}\delta_{b_j} + \omega \geq 0$. The first summand is obviously non-negative. To prove the second one is also non-negative, we need to show that

$$\omega(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{j:b_j<s} \int_{\partial U} e^{\lambda_j(z)+sz} dz \geq 0; \quad \forall s \in (b_1, b_n]. \tag{33}$$

To do so, we will replace the lift of $\sum_{j:b_j<s} \int_{\partial U}$ on S by $\int_\gamma$ on which the projection of the integrand is real and positive, for some well chosen contour γ on S.

In the following we fix $s \in (b_k, b_{k+1})$, with $k \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ also fixed. The case $s = b_{k+1}$ is achieved by continuity. We also write $g := \lambda + s\pi$.

5.1 Constructing γ

On S we define $D := \{\xi \mid \frac{\operatorname{Im} g(\xi)}{\operatorname{Im} \pi(\xi)} > 0\}$. For $\xi_0 \in \pi^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$ we note that since the λ_j's have no branch point over $\mathbb{R}$, we locally stay on the same sheet such that π locally has an inverse π^{-1}. Thus, although $\operatorname{Im} \pi(\xi_0) = 0$, we can define the quotient as

$$\frac{\operatorname{Im} g(\xi_0)}{\operatorname{Im} \pi(\xi_0)} := \lim_{y \to 0} \frac{\operatorname{Im} g \circ \pi^{-1}(x_0, y)}{y}, \tag{34}$$

with $\pi(\xi) = x + iy \equiv (x, y)$ and $\pi(\xi_0) = (x_0, 0)$. Furthermore, since $\lambda \circ \pi^{-1}$ is of real type, $\operatorname{Re} g \circ \pi^{-1}(x, y)$ is even in y and hence $(\partial_2 \operatorname{Re} g \circ \pi^{-1})(x_0, 0) = 0$, such that with l'Hôpital's rule we get

$$\frac{\operatorname{Im} g(\xi_0)}{\operatorname{Im} \pi(\xi_0)} = (\partial_2 g \circ \pi^{-1})(x_0, 0); \tag{35}$$

showing that the quotient is well defined for any $\xi \in S$. To help visualize D, we note that $\rho(D) = D$. A possible realization of D is depicted in figure 2. We next look at $\partial D = \{\xi \mid \frac{\operatorname{Im} g(\xi)}{\operatorname{Im} \pi(\xi)} = 0\}$ and find using equation 35 that $\partial D \cap \pi^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$ is made of discrete points being in fact the continuation of the curves of $\partial D \cap \pi^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^c) = (\operatorname{Im} g)^{-1}(\{0\}) \cap \pi^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^c)$ (see appendix B of [15]). We propose ∂D to be the trace of γ.

That γ is suited to prove the positivity of μ is the content of



Figure 2: A possible representation of D on S as well as its image through h are displayed in yellow. Note the symmetry of D with respect to $\pi^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$, depicted in brown.

Proposition 5 (The Crucial Link).

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{j:b_j<s} \int_{\partial U} e^{\lambda_j(z)+sz} dz = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} e^{g(\xi)} d\xi > 0. \tag{36}$$

Indeed, proving it concludes the proof of Theorem 1. Before doing so, we though look into some properties of γ.

5.2 Properties of γ

Lemma 6. **i)** $\gamma = \gamma_1 + \cdots + \gamma_N$, $\quad \gamma_i$: *positively oriented Jordan curve.*

$$\textbf{ii)}\ \operatorname{Re}(g \circ \gamma_k) \quad \begin{array}{l} \textit{monotonically increasing on } \gamma_k^{-1}(S_+), \\ \textit{monotonically decreasing on } \gamma_k^{-1}(S_-). \end{array} \tag{37}$$

Proof. From the above discussion, up to discrete points, the trace of γ is $(\operatorname{Im} g)^{-1}(0)\backslash\pi^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$. Since $\operatorname{Im} g$ is a harmonic function, everywhere except at a finite number of critical points denoted by C_r, ∂D is locally the trace of a unique curve (see appendix C of [15] for a proof) . Furthermore, since $\operatorname{Im} g$ is non-constant, any point of C_r is found to be a zero of order $m < \infty$ of g; and hence by the Auxiliary theorem of section 4.1 in [21], ∂D is the trace of exactly m curves around such points. Because of the anti-conformal structure of S, those traces form closed loops; allowing us to choose γ as in i).

As depicted in figure 3, $\operatorname{Im} g$ changes sign when one crosses the trace of γ_k. Choosing the axis l along γ_k and n normal to it pointing in D, this means

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial n}\operatorname{Im} g(\xi) > 0 \quad \forall \xi \in \gamma \cap S_+, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial n}\operatorname{Im} g(\xi) < 0 \quad \forall \xi \in \gamma \cap S_-, \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

which using the Cauchy-Riemann equations gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{l}}\operatorname{Re} g(\xi) > 0 \quad \forall \xi \in \gamma \cap S_+, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{l}}\operatorname{Re} g(\xi) < 0 \quad \forall \xi \in \gamma \cap S_-; \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

proving ii). □

Remark 7. *Since the γ_k's are single valued, point ii) of Lemma 6 tells us that each γ_k has to be contained in both S_+ and S_-, allowing us to chose γ such that the endpoints of γ_k lie on $\pi^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\rho(\gamma_k) = -\gamma_k$.*

Lemma 8. $-\dfrac{1}{2\pi i}\displaystyle\int_{\gamma_k} e^{g(\xi)} d\xi > 0.$

Proof. As $\gamma_k \subset (\operatorname{Im} g)^{-1}(\{0\})$, we have that

$$\operatorname{Im} g(\xi) = 0 \quad \forall \xi \in \gamma_k; \tag{40}$$

and hence together with Lemma 6 ii)

$$e^{g\circ\gamma_k} = e^{\operatorname{Re} g\circ\gamma_k} \text{ is monotonically increasing on } \gamma_k^{-1}(S_+). \tag{41}$$



Figure 3: The curve γ_k is locally depicted in a region of S_+, top, and S_-, bottom. The change of sign of $\mathrm{Im}\,g$ is observed when crossing γ_k along the local coordinate $\overrightarrow{n}$.

Writing $z = x + iy$ and $\pi^{-1}(z) = \xi = \nu + i\eta$, we therefore get:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\gamma_k} e^{g(\xi)}d\xi &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\left(\int_{\gamma_k\cap S_+} e^{g(\xi)}d\xi + \int_{\gamma_k\cap S_-} e^{g(\xi)}d\xi\right) \\
&\overset{\text{Rem.7}}{=} \frac{1}{2\pi i}\left(\int_{\gamma_k\cap S_+} e^{g(\xi)}(d\nu + id\eta) + \int_{-\gamma_k\cap S_+} e^{\overline{g(\xi)}}(d\nu - id\eta)\right) \\
&\overset{\text{equ.40}}{=} \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\gamma_k\cap S_+} e^{g(\xi)}d\eta \\
&= \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\gamma_k^{-1}(S_+)} e^{g\circ\gamma_k(s)}\,\mathrm{Im}\left(\pi_\lambda\circ\gamma_k\right)' ds \\
&\overset{\text{IBP}}{=} -\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\gamma_k^{-1}(S_+)} \underbrace{\left(e^{g\circ\gamma_k(s)}\right)'}_{>0}\underbrace{\mathrm{Im}\left(\pi_\lambda\circ\gamma_k\right)}_{>0} ds < 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

where the boundary terms when performing the integration by parts (IBP) vanish because of Remark 7. □

5.3 Proof of The Crucial Link

We finally prove Proposition 5, which finishes the proof of Theorem 1.

Proof (of Proposition 5). From Theorem 2, we can choose a neighborhood of infinity, U, such that λ has no branch point over $\pi^{-1}\left(\overline{U}\right)$. That means that $\pi^{-1}\left(\overline{U}\right)$ is made of n disjoint components, each fully in one sheet. We can hence write:

$$\pi^{-1}\left(\partial U\right) = C_1 \cup \cdots \cup C_n\,; \quad C_j \subset S_j, \quad \forall j = 1,\ldots,n. \tag{43}$$

In $\overline{U}$ we furthermore have by Theorem 2 ii) that

$$\mathrm{Im}\left(\lambda_j(z) + sz\right) = (s - b_j)\,\mathrm{Im}(z) + \mathrm{Im}\left(\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)\right). \tag{44}$$

So since $s \in (b_k, b_{k+1})$, for $|z| > R$, $R > 0$ big enough we achieve:

$$\begin{aligned}
j \le k: &\quad \mathrm{Im}\left(\lambda_j(z) + sz\right) \text{ has same sign as } \mathrm{Im}(z), \\
j > k: &\quad \mathrm{Im}\left(\lambda_j(z) + sz\right) \text{ has opposite sign as } \mathrm{Im}(z).
\end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

Choosing $\overline{U} \subset \{z \mid |z| > R\}$ we have :

$$\begin{aligned}
C_1,\ldots,C_k &\quad \in D, \\
C_{k+1},\ldots,C_n &\quad \notin D.
\end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

Defining $D_0 := D \setminus \pi^{-1}(\overline{U})$, we find with the above $\partial D_0 = \gamma + C_1 + \cdots + C_k$ and, since D_0 is bounded, by Cauchy's Theorem

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial D_0} e^{g(\xi)}d\xi = 0; \tag{47}$$

that is

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\gamma} e^{g(\xi)}d\xi &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\sum_{j=1}^{k}\int_{C_j} e^{\lambda(\xi)+s\pi(\xi)}d\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\sum_{j=1}^{k}\int_{\partial U} e^{\lambda_j(z)+sz}dz \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\sum_{j:b_j<s}\int_{\partial U} e^{\lambda_j(z)+sz}dz, \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

which together with Lemma 8 proves the assertion. □

References

[1] D. Bessis, P. Moussa, and M. Villani. Monotonic converging variational approximations to the functional integrals in quantum statistical mechanics. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 16(11):2318–2325, 1975.

[2] E. H. Lieb and R. Seiringer. Further Implications of the Bessis-Moussa-Villani Conjecture. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 149:86–91, October 2012.

[3] E. H. Lieb and R. Seiringer. Equivalent Forms of the Bessis Moussa Villani Conjecture. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 115:185–190, April 2004.

[4] Pierre Moussa. On the representation of $\mathrm{Tr}(e^{A-tB})$ as a laplace transform. *Reviews in Mathematical Physics*, 12(04):621–655, 2000.

[5] C. J. Hillar and C. R. Johnson. On the Positivity of the Coefficients of a Certain Polynomial Defined by Two Positive Definite Matrices. *ArXiv e-prints*, July 2007.

[6] Charles R. Johnson, Stefan Leichenauer, Peter McNamara, and Roberto Costas. Principal minor sums of $(A + tB)^m$. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 411(0):386 – 389, 2005. Special Issue on Determinants and the Legacy of Sir Thomas Muir.

[7] F. Hansen. Trace functions as Laplace transforms. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 47(4):043504, April 2006.

[8] D. Hägele. Proof of the Cases p$\leq$ 7 of the Lieb-Seiringer Formulation of the Bessis-Moussa-Villani Conjecture. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 127:1167–1171, June 2007.

[9] Christopher J. Hillar. Advances on the bessis–moussa–villani trace conjecture. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 426(1):130 – 142, 2007.

[10] I. Klep and M. Schweighofer. Sums of Hermitian Squares and the BMV Conjecture. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 133:739–760, November 2008.

[11] Christian Fleischhack and Shmuel Friedland. Asymptotic positivity of hurwitz product traces: Two proofs. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 432(6):1363 – 1383, 2010.

[12] S. Burgdorf. Sums of Hermitian Squares as an Approach to the BMV Conjecture. *ArXiv e-prints*, February 2008.

[13] Herbert R. Stahl. Proof of the BMV conjecture. *Acta Mathematica*, 211(2):255–290, 2013.

[14] A. E. Eremenko. Herbert Stahl's proof of the BMV conjecture. *Mat. Sb.*, 206(1):97–102, 2015.

[15] Fabien Clivaz. Stahl's Theorem: Insights and Intuition on its Proof and Physical Context. Master's thesis, ETHZ, Switzerland, 2014.

[16] Franz Schwabl. *Quantenmechanik (QM I)*. Springer-Lehrbuch. Springer, 2002.

[17] M. Reed and B. Simon. *Methods of mathematical physics, vol. IV, Analysis of operators*. Acad. Press, NY, 1978.

[18] T. Kato. *Perturbation Theory of Linear Operators*. Springer-Verlag, 1995.

[19] H.M. Farkas and I. Kra. *Riemann surfaces, Graduate texts in mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, 1992.

[20] C. Teleman. Riemann surfaces. unpublished, 2003.

[21] J. Korevaar and J. Wiegerinck. Several complex variables. unpublished, 2011.